



Penicillin Allergy Really?

Elham Khatamzas Consultant Infectious Diseases 14th March 2019



1941: First patient treated with penicillin at Royal Infirmary Hospital Oxford





28th September 1928

Penicillin allergy – background

 10-20% of patients report penicillin allergy→ on testing <1% will have evidence of true hypersensitivity because

misdiagosis – viral exanthem, virus drug interaction misassumption – intolerance (headache, nausea) remote timing – 80% will lose hypersensitivity >10 yrs but also

history associated with drug reaction rarely confirmed, reconciled, acted on by health care professionals

Types of reaction

- IgE mediated acute
- Immediate <1h (6h)</p>
- Severe, anaphylaxis

IgG mediated – chronic

- >24hrs
- Urticaria, fever, arthralgia



Acute: Allergen Specific IgE is pre-bound to the FccR1 receptor on mast cells. Circulating allergen binds the IgE causing receptor cross linking and mast cell degranulation.



Chronic: Recruitment and activation of allergen specific T cells and other cells by mast cell derived mediators



Adverse drug reactions – hypersensitivity reactions



Penicillin allergy label results in

- Suboptimal clinical outcomes
- More frequent hospital admissions
- Increase in healthcare associated infections
- Increased rate of surgical site infections
- Increased toxicity
- Increased antibiotic costs
- Increased lengths of stay
- Increased anxiety to patients
- Risk of mislabel and anaphylaxis



RESEARCH

Risk of meticillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Clostridium difficile* in patients with a documented penicillin allergy: population based matched cohort study

Setting – UK general practice 1995-2015

- Participants 301 399 adults with previous MRSA or *C difficile* enrolled in Health Improvement Network database
- Results: 64 141 adults with penicillin allergy 237 258 matched comparators
- Mean follow-up for 6 yrs

Outcomes	Penicillin allergy	No penicillin allergy	
MRSA:			
No of patients	64 141	237 258	
No of MRSA cases	442	923	
Person years	383 199	1 446 753	70% risk
Hazard ratio (95% CI)*	1.84 (1.64 to 2.06)	1.0 (reference)	<u> </u>
Multivariable adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI)†	1.69 (1.51 to 1.90)	1.0 (reference)	
C difficile:			
No of patients	64 141	237 258	
No of <i>C difficile</i> cases	442	1246	
Person years	383 469	1 446 658	26% risk
Hazard ratio (95% CI)*	1.37 (1.23 to 1.53)	1.0 (reference)	<u> </u>
Multivariable adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI)†	1.26 (1.12 to 1.40)	1.0 (reference)	

Health care use and serious infection prevalence associated with penicillin "allergy" in hospitalized patients: A cohort study

 $\label{eq:started} \textit{Eric Macy, MD, MS,}^a \textit{ and Richard Contreras, MS}^b \quad \textit{San Diego and Pasadena, Calif}$

- Retrospective matched cohort study Kaiser Foundation Hospital California
- 51,582 hospitalized subjects with penicillin allergy matched with 103,164 control subjects
- Follow-up 20.1<u>+</u>10.5mo
- Results:
 - Penicillin "allergic" patients
 - 0.59 (0.47-0.71) more hospital days
 - Received more clindamycin, quinolones, vancomycin (p<0.0001)
 - 23.4% (15.6-31.7%) more C difficile, 14.1% (7.1-21.6%) more MRSA, 30.1% (12.5-50.4%) more VRE infection

Health care use and serious infection prevalence associated with penicillin "allergy" in hospitalized patients: A cohort study

Eric Macy, MD, MS,^a and Richard Contreras, MS^b San Diego and Pasadena, Calif

- Retrospective matched cohort study Kaiser Foundation Hospital California
- 51,582 hospitalized subjects with penicillin allergy matched with 103,164 control subjects
- Follow-up 20.1<u>+</u>10.5mo
- Results:

were presented. It was estimated that the cost of performing penicillin skin testing on the 51,582 subjects with penicillin "allergy" would be approximately \$6.8 million, whereas \$64.6 million would be saved by virtue of shortening the hospital stay by 0.59 days per patient. This analysis assumes that 95% or more of the Clinical Infectious Diseases

MAJOR ARTICLE



The Impact of Reported Beta-Lactam Allergy in Hospitalized Patients With Hematologic Malignancies Requiring Antibiotics

Kuan-Hsiang Gary Huang,^{1,2} Valerie Cluzet,³ Keith Hamilton,³ and Olajumoke Fadugba¹

- Retrospective cohort study 2010-2015
- N=4671 35.1% antibiotic allergy, 14.1% beta-lactam (9.3% penicillin)
- Results allergic vs non-allergic:
 - Longer length of stay
 - Higher 30 day re-admission rate
 - Higher mortality rate at 30 days and 180 days
 - Higher *C. difficile* rates
 - Higher hospital charges
 - Increased antibiotic classes used and antibiotic duration







Anaesthesia, Surgery and Life-Threatening Allergic Reactions

Report and findings of the Royal College of Anaesthetists' 6th National Audit Project: Perioperative Anaphylaxis

MAY 2018

TEICOPLANIN

BLUE OVE

CO MAGNICIAN

Relevant findings



- 266 cases: 40 patients cardiac arrests, 10 deaths
- Culprit agents: 47% antibiotics
- Teicoplanin 12% of antibiotic exposures but 38% antibiotic-induced anaphylaxis

→ Teicoplanin is 17-fold more likely to cause anaphylaxis than alternatives

	sux aut
BLUB	E ATRACURIONIUM
CHLOR	HERD
TEICOPLANIN	OWE
CO.885	ORICL

Strategies to remove penicillin allergy label

٠	Awa		w York Eimes
Pe	Do fal pa ris	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence	to check for over fears eing put at

By DAILY MAIL REPORTER



Solensky, J Allergy Clin Immun 2014 NYT 22 Jan 2019 Daily Mail 25 Sep 2018 **Original Investigation**

Assessing the Diagnostic Properties of a Graded Oral Provocation Challenge for the Diagnosis of Immediate and Nonimmediate Reactions to Amoxicillin in Children

Cohort study 2012-2015, allergy clinic Montreal 818 children, median age 1.7 yrs (1.0-3.9) 770 (94.1%) tolerated penicillin challenge 17 (2.1%) mild immediate, 31 (3.8%) non-immediate reactions Graded PC specificity 100% negative predictive value 89.1% positive predictive value 100%

Penicillin allergy – SAPG

Scottish Antimicrobial Prescribing Group

- Started autumn 2017
- Develop a national approach and algorithm for assessing and confirming or de-labelling penicillin allergy
- Pilot Survey on prevalence of penicillin allergy completed March 2018



Penicillin allergy NHS Lothian

 Point Prevalence Survey as part of national SAPG led data collection February 2018

WGH ARU and colorectal (Wd 23, 24, 57), also RHSC but numbers very low

Results:

Prevalence of penicillin allergy label 10%

Avg age 69y

56% female

63% had antibiotic prescribed

including 30% vancomycin, 5% ciprofloxacin 69% reaction >10yrs ago

Similar results found on 2017 PPS at SJH

SAPG nation wide de-labelling

Aim: To exclude or confirm hypersensitivity to penicillin

LOW PROBABILITY OF TRUE ALLERGY

De-labelling at front door

PILOT beginning March/April 2019 WGH ARU RIDU MOE Patients with Penicillin allergy label

screened based on history

Patient information leaflet

Oral Amoxicillin challenge

No reaction \rightarrow patient de-labelled

GP and patient informed

Collection of data and feedback with plan for wider roll out





SAPG Algorithm March 2019



SAPG nationwide de-labelling

LOW probability of hypersensitivity

- Symptoms typical of intolerance nausea, vomiting, headache, diarrhoea
- Reaction occurred more than 10yrs ago
- Reaction did not lead to hospital admission
- Reaction did not happen within 60 mins

ORAL CHALLENGE AT BEDSIDE

Penicillin allergy testing

BSACI GUIDELINE

Clinical & Experimental Allergy, 45, 300-327

© 2015 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

History

Skin testing:

- Prick testing

Management of allergy to penicillins and other beta-lactams

R. Mirakian¹, S. C. Leech², M. T. Krishna³, A. G. Richter³, P. A. J. Huber⁴, S. Farooque⁵, N. Khan⁶, M. Pirmohamed⁷, A. T. Clark¹ and S. M. Nasser¹

¹Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK, ²Department of Child Health, King's College Hospital, Landon, UK, ⁴Birningham Heartbands Haspital, Birmingham, UK, ⁴British Saciety for Allergy and Clinical Immunology, London, UK, ⁴Impedial College NHS Trust, St Mary's Hospital, London, UK, ⁴University Haspitals of Leicester NHS Trust, Glenfield Haspital, Leicester, UK and ⁷The Wolfson Centre for Personalised Medicine, Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, Institute of Transistional Medicine, University of Liverpool, UK

Intradermal testing

Drug provocation test



RIDU Outpatient Penicillin allergy Service

- **Purpose:** To exclude or confirm hypersensitivity to penicillin aiming to delabel patients without a true allergy
- Patients:

Identified and referred by RIDU/NIS/other specialists

e.g. recurrent cellulitis, UTI, chronic infections

clear exclusion criteria outpatients only spend min 4 hrs in clinic

3 patients per clinic

- **Staff:** EK, nurse all trained and competent
- Frequency: One day/week
- Safety: Information leaflet, Anaphylaxis protocol in place



Penicillin allergy testing in RIDU

History Observations Informed signed consent

Skin testing with penicillin allergenic determinants (PPL, MDM), amoxicillin, benzyl penicillin in 1:100-neat dilutions

- 1. Skin prick with 15min interval
- 2. intra-dermal test with 15min interval
- 3. Oral graded amoxicillin challenge







Who needs assessment of their penicillin allergy label?

Almost everybody

Thank you

